



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Brown Bros Agencies Limited
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, MNSD, FF, LAT, RR

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession, a monetary order and an order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim. The tenant also filed an application seeking an order to limit the landlords' access to the unit, an order to allow the tenant a rent reduction and an order returning the security deposit. The landlord participated in the conference call hearing but the tenant(s) did not. The landlord presented evidence that the tenants were served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing by personal service on December 24, 2013. I found that the tenants had been properly served with notice of the landlord's claim and the date and time of the hearing and the hearing proceeded in their absence. The landlord gave affirmed evidence.

Issues to be Decided

Is either party entitled to any of the above under the Act, regulation or tenancy agreement?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on or about March 15, 2013. Rent in the amount of \$750.00 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. At the outset of the tenancy the landlord collected from the tenant a security deposit in the amount of \$375.00. The tenant failed to pay rent in the month(s) of December and on December 13, 2013 the landlord served the tenant with a notice to end tenancy. The tenant further failed to pay rent in the month(s) of January. The landlord advised that as of today's date the tenant still owes \$1050.38 for rent.

Analysis

I accept the landlord's undisputed testimony and I find that the tenant was served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenant did not pay the outstanding rent within 5 days of receiving the notice and did not apply for dispute resolution to dispute the notice and is therefore conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice. Based on the above facts I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession. The tenant must be served with the order of possession. Should the tenant fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

As for the monetary order, I find that the landlord has established a claim for \$ 1050.38 in unpaid rent. The landlord is also entitled to recovery of the \$50.00 filing fee. Although the landlord's application does not seek to retain the deposit, using the offsetting provisions of section 72 of the *Act*, I allow the landlord to retain the tenant's \$375.00 security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of \$725.38. This order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

The tenant chose not to dial into the teleconference or submit any documentation for consideration; accordingly I dismiss the tenants' application.

Conclusion

The landlord is granted an order of possession and a monetary order for \$725.38. The landlord may retain the security deposit.

The tenants' application is dismissed in its entirety without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 16, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch

