

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute codes</u> OP MNR MNSD FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession, a monetary order and an order allowing retention of the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim. The hearing was conducted by conference call. The landlord and his agent called in and participated in the hearing. The tenants did not appear although they were served both personally and by registered mail with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing. The registered mail was sent on January 18,2014 and the tenant C.S was personally served on the same day..

Issues

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession?
Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order?
Is the landlord entitled to an order allowing retention of the security deposit?

Background and Evidence

This tenancy began on October 9, 2013. The rent is \$1,500.00 due in advance on the first day of each month. The tenants paid a security deposit of \$750.00 and a pet deposit of \$300.00 at the start of the tenancy. The tenants did not pay the full rent for November when it was due. They paid only \$700.00, leaving \$800.00 outstanding. The tenants did not pay rent for December and on December 9, 2013 the landlord served the tenants with a Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent by posting it to the door of the rental unit. The tenants have not paid rent for December or for January and they did not file an application to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy.

<u>Analysis</u>

Section 46 of the Act requires that upon receipt of a Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent the tenants must, within five days, either pay the full amount of the arrears indicated on the Notice or dispute the notice by filing an Application for Dispute Resolution with the Residential Tenancy Branch. If, as in the present case, the tenants do neither of these two things, they are conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

Page: 2

Conclusion

Order of Possession - Based on the above background, evidence and analysis I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective two days after service on the tenants. This order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

Monetary Order and Security Deposit - I find that the landlord has established a total monetary claim of \$3,800.00 for the outstanding rent for November, December and January. The landlord is entitled to recover the \$50.00 filing fee for this application for a total award of \$3,850.00. I order that the landlord retain the deposits and interest of \$1,050.00 in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of \$2,800.00. This order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 30, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch