



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      MNSD, FF

### Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application by the Tenant for a monetary order for return of double the security deposit paid to the Landlord and for the return of the filing fee paid for the Application.

Only the Tenant appeared at the hearing. The Tenant provided affirmed testimony and was provided the opportunity to present her evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to make submissions to me.

The Tenant testified that she served the Landlord with the Notice of Hearing and the Application for Dispute Resolution in person on October 23, 2013, and this was witnessed by a third party. Despite the Landlord not attending the hearing, I find the Landlord has been duly served in accordance with the Act.

I have reviewed all evidence and testimony before me that met the requirements of the rules of procedure; however, I refer to only the relevant facts and issues in this decision.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Has there been a breach of Section 38 of the Act by the Landlord?

### Background and Evidence

The Tenant paid the Landlord a security deposit of \$315.00 on or about March 4, 2013, and in evidence the Tenant submitted a receipt for payment of this amount. The Tenant vacated the premises on August 29, 2013.

The Tenant testified she provided the Landlord with a written notice of the forwarding address to return the security deposit to, by placing it in the Landlord's mailbox at the time she was moving out.

The Tenant testified she did not sign over a portion of the security deposit.

The Tenant testified that the Landlord did not perform an incoming or outgoing condition inspection report in writing.

### Analysis

Based on the above, the testimony and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find that the Landlord is in breach of the Act.

There was no evidence to show that the Tenant had agreed, in writing, that the Landlord could retain any portion of the security deposit.

There was also no evidence to show that the Landlord had applied for arbitration, within 15 days of the end of the tenancy or receipt of the forwarding address of the Tenant, to retain a portion of the security deposit, as required under section 38.

By failing to perform incoming or outgoing condition inspection reports in accordance with the Act, the Landlord extinguished the right to claim against the security deposit for damages, pursuant to sections 24(2) and 36(2) of the Act.

Therefore, I find the Landlord has breached section 38 of the Act. The Landlord is in the business of renting and therefore, has a duty to abide by the laws pertaining to Residential Tenancies.

The security deposit is held in trust for the Tenant by the Landlord. At no time does the Landlord have the ability to simply keep the security deposit because they feel they are entitled to it or are justified to keep it.

The Landlord may only keep all or a portion of the security deposit through the authority of the Act, such as an order from an Arbitrator, or the written agreement of the Tenant. Here the Landlord did not have any authority under the Act to keep any portion of the security deposit. Therefore, I find that the Landlord is not entitled to retain any portion of the security deposit.

Having made the above findings, I must Order, pursuant to section 38 and 67 of the Act, that the Landlord pay the Tenant the sum of **\$680.00**, comprised of double the security deposit (2 x \$315.00) plus the \$50.00 fee for filing this Application.

Conclusion

The Landlord breached section 38 of the Act. The Tenant is given a formal Order in the above terms and the Landlord must be served with a copy of this Order as soon as possible. Should the Landlord fail to comply with this Order, the Order may be filed in the Small Claims division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is final and binding on the parties, except as otherwise provided under the Act, and is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 30, 2014

---

Residential Tenancy Branch

