



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes O & FF

Introduction

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of both parties. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered.

Both parties were given a full opportunity to present evidence and make submissions. Neither party requested an adjournment or a Summons to Testify. Prior to concluding the hearing both parties acknowledged they had presented all of the relevant evidence that they wished to present.

I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was sufficiently served on the Tenants by mailing, by registered mail to where the tenants reside. With respect to each of the applicant's claims I find as follows:

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issue to be decided is whether the landlord is entitled to an Order for Possession?

Background and Evidence

The parties entered into a written tenancy agreement that provided that the tenancy would start on May 1, 2013 and continue on a month to month basis. The rent is \$1100 per month payable on the first day of each month. The tenant(s) paid a security deposit of \$550 and a pet damage deposit of \$150 at the start of the tenancy.

On October 31, 2013 the parties entered into a mutual agreement in writing to end the tenancy on January 31, 2014.

At the hearing the agent for the landlord stated the parties have agreed to extend this date to March 31, 2014. The tenant agreed.

As a result of the settlement I determined the tenancy shall end on March 31, 2014.

Analysis - Order of Possession:

I determined the landlord was entitled to an Order for Possession. Accordingly, I granted the landlord an Order for Possession effective March 31, 2014..

The tenant must be served with this Order as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, the landlord may register the Order with the Supreme Court of British Columbia for enforcement.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: January 13, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch

