



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding 353178 B.C. Ltd.
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR MNR

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of direct request proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the “Act”), and dealt with an application for dispute resolution by the landlord for an order of possession for unpaid rent and a monetary order for unpaid rent.

The landlord submitted a signed proof of service of the notice of direct request proceeding which declares that on January 8, 2014, the landlord served the tenant with the notice of direct request proceeding via registered mail.

Section 90 of the *Act* determines that a document served in this manner is deemed to have been served five days later.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been duly served with the direct request proceeding documents as of January 13, 2014.

Issues to be Decided

- Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent?
- Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order for unpaid rent?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the proof of service of the notice of direct proceeding for the tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on November 29, 2013, indicating a monthly rent of \$750.00 due on the first day of the month; and
- A copy of a 10 day notice to end tenancy for unpaid rent which was issued on January 2, 2014, with a stated effective vacancy date of January 11, 2014, for \$1,950.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the tenant had failed to pay the rent owed and was served the 10 day notice to end tenancy for unpaid rent by

personal service to a “fellow tenant”, DE, on January 2, 2014 at 8:30 a.m. at the rental unit, which would automatically correct to January 12, 2014.

The notice states that the tenant had five days to pay the rent in full or apply for dispute resolution or the tenancy would end 10 days from the service date. The tenant did not apply to dispute the notice to end tenancy within five days from the date of service.

The landlord clarified in their application that they are seeking \$750.00 for unpaid rent for December 2013 due to an NSF cheque from the tenant, plus unpaid rent for the month of January 2014 of \$750.00 for a total of \$1,500.00 in unpaid rent.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the corrected effective date of the notice, January 12, 2014. Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent and a monetary order for unpaid rent.

Conclusion

I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective **two (2) days after service** on the tenant and this order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that court.

I find that the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation pursuant to section 67 in the amount of **\$1,500.00** comprised of rent owed as claimed by the landlord.

This order must be served on the tenant and may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that court.

This decision is final and binding on the parties, unless otherwise provided under the *Act*, and is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 14, 2014

