



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Nacel Properties Ltd.
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR MNR

Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the Act). The landlord applied for an order of possession and a monetary order for unpaid rent.

The landlord submitted various documents in support of its application but upon review of these documents I find that they are insufficient for the purposes of a direct request application. Specifically, the signature pages of the Notices of Rent Increase are not legible and the amount of rent claimed by the landlord does not in any event reflect the amount stated as being the current rent in those Notices. The landlord's Application claims outstanding rent in the amount of \$1966.00 for the month of January but has submitted rent increase documents that show the current rent as being \$1818.00 plus parking of \$75.00. Due to this incongruence I am not satisfied that the landlord has met the requirements of a direct request proceeding.

Analysis

The landlord's application is dismissed.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 24, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch

