



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Lombardy Management Ltd.
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, MNDC, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an order of possession and a monetary order. Although served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing by personal service on January 7, 2014, the Tenant did not appear.

Issue(s) to be Decided

- Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession and, if so, upon what terms?
- Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order and, if so, in what amount?

Background and Evidence

This month-to-month tenancy commenced many years ago. Prior to January 1, 2014, the monthly rent was \$275.00. As of January 1, 2014, the monthly rent was increased to \$285.00. The rent is due on the first day of the month.

The landlord testified that the tenant was served with a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Non-Payment of Rent on by personal service on December 23, 2013. That document includes information advising the tenant that the notice is cancelled if the tenant paid the arrears of rent within five days. It also advises that the tenant has five days to dispute the notice by filing an application for dispute resolution with the Residential Tenancy Branch. The landlord testified that the tenant did neither.

The landlord testified that as of December 31, 2013, the arrears of rent totaled \$4440.00.

Analysis

Based on the above, the testimony and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

The Tenant has not paid the outstanding rent and did not apply to dispute the Notice and is therefore conclusively presumed under section 39(5) of the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice. Based on the above facts I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective two days after service on the Tenant.

I find that the landlord has established a total monetary claim of \$4450.00 comprised of arrears of rent in the amount of \$4400.00 and the \$50.00 fee paid by the landlord for this application and I grant the Landlord an order under section 60 in that amount

Conclusion

- a. An order of possession effective two days after service on the Tenant has been granted. If necessary, this order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.
- b. A monetary order in favour of the landlord in the amount of \$4450.00 has been granted. If necessary, it may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 19, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch

