



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR

Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of possession and a monetary Order.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that at 1:55 p.m. on March 18, 2014 the landlord personally served the tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding at the rental unit address.

Section 90 of the Act determines that a document is deemed to have been served on the day of personal delivery.

Therefore, based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been served, pursuant to sections 89 and 90 of the Act, with the Direct Request Proceeding documents effective March 18, 2014.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of possession?
Is the landlord entitled to monetary Order for unpaid rent?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed on an undetermined date for a tenancy commencing August 1, 2013, indicating a monthly rent of \$700.00 due by the first day of the month; and
- A copy of a 10 day Notice to end tenancy for unpaid rent or utilities which was issued on March 10, 2014 with a stated effective vacancy date of March 21, 2014, for \$500.00 in unpaid rent due March 1, 2014.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the tenant has failed to pay rent owed and was personally served the 10 day Notice to end tenancy for unpaid rent or utilities by the landlord and his wife on March 10, 2013, at 3:15 p.m. The landlord submitted a proof of service documents, signed by the landlord and his wife, confirming service occurred at the rental unit.

The Notice indicated that the Notice would be automatically cancelled if the landlord received \$500.00 within 5 days after the tenant was assumed to have received the Notice. The Notice also indicated that the tenant was presumed to have accepted that the tenancy was ending and that the tenant must move out of the rental by the date set out in the Notice unless the tenant filed an Application for Dispute Resolution within 5 days.

The landlord has requested compensation in the sum of \$500.00 for unpaid March 2014 rent.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord.

The Notice is deemed to have been received by the tenant on the day of personal delivery; March 10, 2014.

Section 46(1) of the Act stipulates that a 10 day Notice ending tenancy is effective 10 days after the date that the tenant receives the Notice. As the tenant is deemed to have received this Notice on March 10, 2014, I find that the earliest effective date of the Notice is March 20, 2014.

In the absence of evidence to the contrary, I find that the tenant was served with a Notice ending tenancy that required the tenant to vacate the rental unit on March 21, 2014, pursuant to section 46 of the Act; the date on the Notice.

Section 46 of the Act stipulates that a tenant has 5 days from the date of receiving the Notice ending tenancy to either pay the outstanding rent or to file an Application for Dispute Resolution to dispute the Notice.

In the circumstances before me I have no evidence that the tenant exercised either of these rights; therefore, pursuant to section 46(5) of the Act, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy has ended on the effective date of the Notice; March 21, 2014.

Therefore, I find, pursuant to section 55 of the Act, that the landlord is entitled to an Order of possession effective **two days after service** on the tenant. The Order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I find that the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation, pursuant section 65 of the Act, in the amount of \$500.00 for March 2014 rent owed and I grant an Order in that amount. This Order must be served on the tenant and may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an Order of that Court.

Conclusion

The landlord is entitled to an Order of possession and a monetary Order for unpaid rent.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: March 25, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch

