

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession, a monetary order and an order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim. The landlord participated in the conference call hearing but the tenant(s) did not. The landlord presented evidence that the tenants were served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing by registered mail on March 3, 2014. I found that the tenants had been properly served with notice of the landlord's claim and the date and time of the hearing and the hearing proceeded in their absence. The landlord gave affirmed evidence.

Issues to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession?

Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order for unpaid rent and loss of income?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on or about February 1, 2010. Rent in the amount of \$3000.00 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. The tenant failed to pay rent in the month(s) of July 2013 – February 2014 and on February 6, 2014 the landlord served the tenant with a notice to end tenancy. The landlord advised that the tenants made many partial payments but there is still rental arrears of \$1000.00.

Analysis

I accept the landlord's undisputed testimony and I find that the tenant was served with a

notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenant did not pay the outstanding

rent within 5 days of receiving the notice and did not apply for dispute resolution to

dispute the notice and is therefore conclusively presumed to have accepted that the

tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice. Based on the above facts I find that

the landlord is entitled to an order of possession. The tenant must be served with the

order of possession. Should the tenant fail to comply with the order, the order may be

filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

As for the monetary order, the landlord advised that he wished to abandon that portion

of his claim as "I don't want them to pay, I just want them to move out". Based on the

landlords' position I dismiss this portion of his application.

Conclusion

The landlord is granted an order of possession.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: April 17, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch