



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes

OPR, MNR

Introduction

The landlord applied for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent under the Direct Request Procedure, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act").

The landlord named two tenants on the Application; however, I note that the tenancy agreement is signed by only one of the named tenants. I have amended the Application to exclude the tenant who did not sign the agreement.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on March 24, 2014 the landlord served the tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail sent to the rental unit.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession and monetary compensation for unpaid rent?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on January 1 and January 4, 2014, indicating a monthly rent of \$750.00 due on the 1st day of every month for a fixed term expiring July 1, 2014;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on March 12, 2014 with a stated effective vacancy date of March 22, 2014 for \$750.00 in unpaid rent as of March 1, 2014; and,

- A copy of a Proof of Service of the 10 Day Notice indicating the landlord posted the 10 Day Notice on the tenant's door on March 12, 2014 in the presence of a witness.

The 10 Day Notice states that the tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days from the date of service.

In the details of dispute the landlord states that the tenant failed to pay rent for March 2014.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant was served with a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy as declared by the landlord. Since the Notice was posted on the door it is deemed to be received by the tenant three days later under section 90 of the Act. As provided under section 53 of the Act, the effective date of the Notice automatically changes to comply with the Act and reads March 25, 2014.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant failed to pay the rent owed in full or dispute the Notice within 5 days of receiving the Notice as permitted under section 46(4) of the Act. Accordingly, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice. Therefore, I find that the tenancy ended March 25, 2014 and the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective two (2) days after service upon the tenant.

I find the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent in the amount of \$750.00 for the month of March 2014. The landlord is provided a Monetary Order for this amount to serve upon the tenant. The security deposit remains in trust to be administered in accordance with the Act.

Conclusion

The tenancy has ended and the landlord is provided an Order of Possession effective two (2) days after service upon the tenant. The landlord is provided a Monetary Order in the amount of \$750.00 to serve upon the tenant.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: April 02, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch

