

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding KARMA ENTERPRISES LTD. and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes

OPR, O, FF

Introduction

This hearing was convened in response to an orally amended application by the landlord seeking solely an Order of Possession of the rental site pursuant to the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act* (the Act).

Only the landlord appeared in the conference call hearing. The tenant of this matter is deceased.

Preliminary matters

The style of cause in this matter has been amended to reflect the removal of the named respondent G.B. as a party to the proceedings. The landlord's testimony revealed that G.B. is not the tenant, nor a relevant party to these proceedings, nor are they captured in the Act's *Definitions* of a tenant, nor are they associated with any estate of the deceased.

Service of Application and Notice of Hearing

The tenant in this matter passed away in September 2014 and according to the landlord there is neither a Will nor an administrator responsible for any estate of the deceased tenant known to the landlord. As a result, the landlord has not been able to serve any valid entity with notice of their application.

Issue(s)to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?

Background and Evidence

Further undisputed evidence in this matter is that the mobile home remaining on the rental pad was the subject of a fire and the fire department declared the home uninhabitable. The landlord claims that as a result, the home has nominal residual value.

<u>Analysis</u>

On reflection, as the landlord has not served a valid entity with their application for an Order of Possession, their application must be **dismissed**. Effectively however, it must be noted that pursuant to **Section 37 of the** *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act*, the tenancy has ended, and the landlord has regained *de facto* legal possession of the site, and therefore does not require an Order of Possession.

In addition, the landlord is advised of the provisions within the **Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Regulation:** Part 6 – Abandonment of Personal Property, in respect to dealing with the abandoned mobile home on the site. Of relevance, in part, include Sections 34, 35, and 37. A complete text can be found at: http://www.rto.gov.bc.ca.

Conclusion

The landlord has effectively regained possession of the rental site. Their application for an Order of Possession is **dismissed.**

This Decision is final and binding on all parties.

This Decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act.

Dated: April 08, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch