



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding SECCIA BROS.
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes MND, MNSD, FF

Introduction

This hearing commenced on March 20, 2014 to deal with a landlord's application for a Monetary Order for damage to the rental unit; and, authorization to retain part of the security deposit. Both parties appeared or were represented at the hearing and were provided the opportunity to make relevant submissions, in writing and orally pursuant to the Rules of Procedure, and to respond to the submissions of the other party.

After hearing from both parties, I requested the parties to provide me with additional evidence and I ordered the hearing adjourned. The hearing was set to reconvene at 1:30 p.m. on May 15, 2014 and Notices of Adjourned Hearing were sent to the parties.

During the period of adjournment, I received additional evidence from the tenant followed by a letter from the landlord indicating the parties had settled their dispute. As the hearing had already commenced, the Branch did not cancel the hearing and the adjourned hearing remained as scheduled.

I called into the reconvened hearing at the scheduled time and waited 10 minutes. During that time neither party appeared. In the absence of either party, I find it likely this dispute has been resolved; however, without confirmation of both parties I closed this case by dismissing the landlord's Application.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 15, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch

