

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MT, CNR, ERP

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenant's Application for Dispute Resolution seeking more time to apply to cancel a notice to end tenancy; to cancel a notice to end tenancy; to an order to have the landlord complete emergency repairs.

The hearing was conducted via teleconference and was attended by the landlord.

During the hearing, the landlord verbally requested an order of possession should the tenant be unsuccessful in his Application.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the tenant is entitled to more time to cancel a notice to end tenancy; to cancel a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy; and to an order to have the landlord complete emergency repairs, pursuant to Section 33, 46, 66 of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*.

If the tenant is unsuccessful in his Application seeking to cancel the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent it must be decided if the landlord is entitled to an order of possession, pursuant to Section 55 of the *Act*.

<u>Analysis</u>

As the tenant failed attend this hearing and present his case, I dismiss the tenant's Application in its entirety.

Section 55(1) of the *Act* states if a tenant makes an Application for Dispute Resolution to dispute a landlord's notice to end tenancy, the director must grant an order of possession to the landlord if, the landlord makes an oral request for an order of possession and the director dismisses the tenant's Application or upholds the landlord's notice.

As I have dismissed the tenant's Application and the landlord has verbally requested an order of possession I find, pursuant to Section 55 the landlord is entitled to such an order.

Conclusion

I find the landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective **two days after service on the tenant**. This order must be served on the tenant. If the tenant fails to comply with this order the landlord may file the order with the Supreme Court of British Columbia and be enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 22, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch