



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding 116 West Hastings Holdings Ltd.
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes

OPR

Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of possession.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on July 4, 2014 the landlord served the tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via posting to the tenant's door at 1:36. The landlord provided a signed proof of service document, declaring service was completed.

Section 90 of the Act determines that a document is deemed to have been served on the day of personal delivery.

Therefore, based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been served, pursuant to sections 89 and 90 of the Act, with the Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of possession?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on November 9, 2010, indicating a monthly rent of \$600.00 due on the 1st day of the month; and

- A copy of a 10 day Notice to end tenancy for unpaid rent or utilities which was issued on May 2, 2014 with a stated effective vacancy date of May 15, 2014, for \$650.00 in unpaid rent due on May 1, 2014.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the tenant has failed to pay May 2014 and June 2014 rent; less one \$400.00 payment.

The tenant was served the 10 day Notice to end tenancy for unpaid rent or utilities by posting to the tenant's door on May 2, 2014 at 3 p.m., by employee R.I., with co-worker Y.C. present as a witness. A proof of service document signed by the employees, confirming service, was supplied as evidence of service.

The Notice indicated that the Notice would be automatically cancelled if the landlord received \$650.00 within 5 days after the tenant was assumed to have received the Notice. The Notice also indicated that the tenant was presumed to have accepted that the tenancy was ending and that the tenant must move out of the rental by the date set out in the Notice unless the tenant files an Application for Dispute Resolution within 5 days.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord.

Section 90 of the Act stipulates that a document that is posted on a door is deemed to be received on the 3rd day after it is posted. Therefore, I find that the tenant received the Notice to end tenancy on May 5, 2014.

Section 46(1) of the Act stipulates that a 10 day Notice ending tenancy is effective 10 days after the date that the tenant receives the Notice. As the tenant is deemed to have received this Notice on May 5, 2014, I find that the earliest effective date of the Notice is May 15, 2014.

In the absence of evidence to the contrary, I find that the tenant was served with a Notice ending tenancy that required the tenant to vacate the rental unit on May 15, 2014, pursuant to section 46 of the Act.

Section 46 of the Act stipulates that a tenant has 5 days from the date of receiving the Notice ending tenancy to either pay the outstanding rent or to file an Application for Dispute Resolution to dispute the Notice.

In the circumstances before me I have no evidence that the tenant exercised either of these rights; therefore, pursuant to section 46(5) of the Act, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy has ended on the effective date of the Notice; May 15, 2014.

Therefore, I find, pursuant to section 55 of the Act, that the landlord is entitled to an Order of possession effective **two days after service** on the tenant. The Order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

Conclusion

The landlord is entitled to an Order of possession.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 09, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch

