

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNSD, FF

Introduction

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of both parties. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered. Both parties were given a full opportunity to present evidence and make submissions. Neither party requested an adjournment or a Summons to Testify. Prior to concluding the hearing both parties acknowledged they had presented all of the relevant evidence that they wished to present. I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was sufficiently served on the landlord by mailing, by registered mail to where the landlord resides. With respect to each of the applicant's claims I find as follows:

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issue to be decided is whether the tenant is entitled to the return of double the security deposit/pet deposit?

Background and Evidence

The parties entered into a tenancy agreement that provided that the tenancy would start on October 2, 2013 with rent as \$885 per month payable on first day of each month. The tenant(s) paid a security deposit of \$440 prior to the start of the tenancy. The tenancy ended on February 27, 2014. The tenant(s) provided the landlord with his/her their forwarding address in writing on February 27, 2014.

The landlord returned \$370.50 of the security deposit which was received by the tenant in the mail on March 17, 2014.

Law

The Residential Tenancy Act provides that a landlord must return the security deposit

plus interest to the tenants within 15 days of the later of the date the tenancy ends or

the date the landlord receives the tenants forwarding address in writing unless the

parties have agreed in writing that the landlord can retain the security deposit, the

landlord already has a monetary order against the tenants or the landlord files an

Application for Dispute Resolution within that 15 day period. It further provides that if

the landlord fails to do this the tenant is entitled to an order for double the security

deposit.

Monetary Order and Cost of Filing fee

At the hearing the landlord stated she was prepared to pay the tenant the amount

claimed in the sum of \$514.50. I ordered the landlord(s) to pay to the tenant the sum of

\$514.50.

It is further Ordered that this sum be paid forthwith. The applicant is given a formal

Order in the above terms and the respondent must be served with a copy of this Order

as soon as possible.

Should the respondent fail to comply with this Order, the Order may be filed in the Small

Claims division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: June 13, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch