

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR MNR FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession and a monetary order for unpaid rent.

The landlord participated in the teleconference hearing, but the tenant did not call into the hearing. The landlord stated that he personally served the tenant with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing on June 24, 2014. I accepted the landlord's testimony regarding service of notice of the hearing, and I proceeded with the hearing in the absence of the tenant.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession? Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began in February 2014. Rent in the amount of \$600 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. The tenant failed to pay \$80 of the rent in the month of April 2014 and paid no rent for May or June 2014, and on June 18, 2014 the landlord served the tenant with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenant further failed to pay rent in the month of July 2014.

The Landlord's evidence included the following:

• a copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities, issued on June 18, 2014, for failure to pay rent in the amount of \$1280 that was due on June 1, 2014;

- testimony that the tenant was personally served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent on June 18, 2014; and
- a copy of the Landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution, filed June 24, 2014.

<u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all evidence and I accept that the tenant was served with the notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the Act. I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice. The landlord is therefore entitled to an order of possession.

As for the monetary order, based on the above-noted evidence I find that the landlord has established a claim for \$1880 in unpaid rent. The landlord is also entitled to recovery of the \$50 filing fee.

Conclusion

I grant the landlord an order of possession effective two days from service. The tenant must be served with the order of possession. Should the tenant fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

I grant the landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of \$1930. This order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 23, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch