

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR, MNSD, FF

<u>Introduction</u>

This matter dealt with an application by the Landlord for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent, to recover the filing fee for this proceeding and to keep the Tenant's security deposit in partial payment of those amounts.

The Landlord said he served the Tenant with the Application and Notice of Hearing (the "hearing package") by registered mail on June 8, 2014. Based on the evidence of the Landlord, I find that the Tenant was served with the Landlord's hearing package as required by s. 89 of the Act and the hearing proceeded in the Tenant's absence.

Issues(s) to be Decided

- 1. Does the Landlord have grounds to end the tenancy?
- 2. Are there rent arrears and if so, how much?
- 3. Is the Landlord entitled to compensation for unpaid rent and if so how much?
- 4. Is the Landlord entitled to keep the Tenant's security deposit?

Background and Evidence

This tenancy started on April 1, 2012 as a month to month tenancy. Rent is \$850.00 per month payable in advance of the 1st day of each month. The Tenant paid a security deposit of \$425.00 at the start of the tenancy.

The Landlord said that the Tenant did not pay \$1,700.00 of rent for April and May, 2014 when it was due and as a result, on May 6, 2014 he personally delivered a 10 day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities dated May 6, 2014 to the Tenant. The Landlord said the Tenant has unpaid rent for June and July, 2014 in the amount of \$850.00 for each month.

The Landlord further indicated that the Tenant is living at the rental unit and the Landlord requested an Order of Possession as the Tenant is not cooperating and will not pay the rent or move out.

The Landlord also sought to recover the \$50.00 filing fee for this proceeding and to retain the Tenant's security deposit as partial payment of the unpaid rent.

.

<u>Analysis</u>

Section 46(4) of the Act states that **within 5 days of receiving** a Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities, a Tenant must pay the overdue rent or apply for dispute resolution. If the Tenant fails to do either of these things, then under section 46(5) of the Act, they are conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ends on the effective date of the Notice and they must vacate the rental unit at that time.

Under s. 90 of the Act, the Tenant is deemed to have received the Notice to End Tenancy on the day the Notice was personally delivered to him, or on May 6, 2014. Consequently, the Tenant would have had to pay the amount stated on the Notice or apply to dispute that amount no later than May 11, 2014.

I find that the Tenant has not paid the overdue rent and has not applied for dispute resolution. Consequently, I find pursuant to s. 55(2)(b) of the Act that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession to take effect 48 hours after service of it on the Tenant.

I also find that the Landlord is entitled to recover unpaid rent for April, May, June and July, 2014 in the total amount of \$3,400.00.

As the Landlord has been successful in this matter, he is also entitled to recover from the Tenant the \$50.00 filing fee for this proceeding. I order the Landlord pursuant to s. 38(4) and s. 72 of the Act to keep the Tenant's security deposit in partial payment of the rent arrears. The Landlord will receive a monetary order for the balance owing as following:

	Rent arrears: Recover filing fee Subtotal:	\$3,400.00 \$ 50.00	\$3,450.00
Less:	Security Deposit Subtotal:	\$425.00	\$ 425.00
	Balance Owing		\$3,025.00

Page: 3

Conclusion

An Order of Possession effective 2 days after service of it on the Tenant and a Monetary Order in the amount of \$3,025.00 have been issued to the Landlord. A copy of the Orders must be served on the Tenant: the Order of Possession may be enforced in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and the Monetary Order may be enforced in the Provincial (Small Claims) Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 29, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch