



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSD

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the tenant for double recovery of her security deposit. The tenant attended the teleconference hearing but the landlord did not.

The tenant submitted evidence to establish that the landlord was served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing by registered mail sent on March 20, 2014. Section 90 of the Act states that a document is deemed to have been served five days after mailing. I found that the landlord was deemed served with notice of the hearing on March 25, 2014, and I proceeded with the hearing in the absence of the landlord.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the tenant entitled to double recovery of the security deposit?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on October 1, 2013. At the outset of the tenancy the tenant paid the landlord a security deposit of \$450. The tenancy ended on March 1, 2014. The tenant provided the landlord with her written forwarding address on the same date. The landlord has not returned the security deposit or applied for dispute resolution.

Analysis

Section 38 of the Residential Tenancy Act requires that 15 days after the later of the end of tenancy and the tenant providing the landlord with a written forwarding address, the landlord must repay the security deposit or make an application for dispute

resolution. If the landlord fails to do so, then the tenant is entitled to recovery of double the base amount of the security deposit.

In this case, the tenancy ended on March 1, 2014, and the tenant provided her forwarding address in writing on that date. The landlord has failed to repay the security deposit or make an application for dispute resolution within 15 days of receiving the tenant's forwarding address in writing. I therefore find that the tenant has established a claim for double recovery of her security deposit, in the amount of \$900.

Conclusion

I grant the tenant an order under section 67 for the amount due of \$900. This order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 10, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch

