



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR MNR

Introduction

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on June 27, 2014, the Landlord served the Tenant by registered mail. Canada Post receipts were provided in the Landlord's evidence. Based on the written submissions of the Landlord, I find that the Tenant is deemed served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents on July 2, 2014, five days after they were mailed, pursuant to section 90 of the Act.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order pursuant to section 55 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*?

Background and Evidence

I have carefully reviewed the following evidentiary material submitted by the Landlord:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the Tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by all parties for a month to month tenancy agreement that commenced on June 1, 2012, for the monthly rent of \$735.00 due on the 1st of each month;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on, June 7, 2014, with an effective vacancy date listed as June 21, 2014, due to \$735.00 in unpaid rent that was due on May 1, 2014; and
- A notation on the application which indicates the Tenant has not paid rent for May or June 2014.

Documentary evidence filed by the Landlord indicates that the Tenant was served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent on June 7, 2014, at 2:00 p.m., when it was posted to the Tenant's door, in the presence of a witness.

Analysis

Order of Possession - I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the Tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the Landlord. The notice is deemed to have been received by the Tenant on June 10, 2014, three days after it was posted, and the effective date of the notice is June 20, 2014, pursuant to section 90 of the *Act*. I accept the evidence before me that the Tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the Tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice and I hereby grant the Landlord an Order of Possession.

Monetary Order – The 10 Day Notice for unpaid rent is a written demand for outstanding rent. If rent was unpaid for May and June 1, 2014 then the 10 Day Notice should list amounts due for both May and June rents totaling \$1,470.00 (2 x \$735.00). In this case the 10 Day Notice was issued on June 7, 2014, and lists only the outstanding rent that was due May 1, 2014 of \$735.00.

The Direct Request procedure is based upon written submissions only and requires that the submissions be sufficiently clear, valid and supported by evidence in order to succeed.

Based on the above, I hereby grant the Landlord a monetary order for unpaid rent of **\$735.00**, as supported by the 10 Day Notice.

Any deposits currently held in trust by the Landlord are to be administered in accordance with Section 38 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Conclusion

The Landlord has been granted an Order of Possession effective **Two (2) Days after service upon the Tenant**. This Order is legally binding and must be served upon the Tenant. In the event that the Tenant does not comply with this Order it may be filed with the Province of British Columbia Supreme Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

The Landlord has been awarded a Monetary Order in the amount of **\$735.00**. This Order is legally binding and must be served upon the Tenant. In the event that the Tenant does not comply with this Order it may be filed with the Province of British Columbia Small Claims Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 07, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch

