

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR MNR

### Introduction

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on June 27, 2014, the Landlord served each Tenant by registered mail. Canada Post receipts were provided in the Landlord's evidence. Based on the written submissions of the Landlord, I find that each Tenant is deemed served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents on July 2, 2014, five days after they were mailed, pursuant to section 90 of the Act.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order pursuant to section 55 of the Residential Tenancy Act?

## Background and Evidence

I have carefully reviewed the following evidentiary material submitted by the Landlord:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for each Tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by all parties for a month to month tenancy that commenced on January 1, 2014, for the monthly rent of \$1,675.00 due on or before the 1st of the month;
- Various e-mail communications between the parties; bank statements with notations that indicate that full rent payments were not received; and a notation

Page: 2

on the application which indicates the Tenants owe \$150.00 for April 2014, \$1,675.00 for May 2014, plus \$1,675 for June 2014; and

 A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on, June 14, 2014, with an effective vacancy date listed as July 3, 2014, due to \$3,500.00 in accumulated unpaid rent that was due on June 1, 2014.

Documentary evidence filed by the Landlord indicates that the Tenants were served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent on June 16, 2014, by registered mail. The Landlord submitted a copy of the Canada Post tracking information which confirms the 10 Day Notice was delivered on June 18, 2014.

### Analysis

**Order of Possession -** I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the Tenants have been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the Landlord. The notice was received by the Tenants on June 18, 2014, and the effective date of the notice is June 28, 2014, pursuant to section 90 of the *Act*. I accept the evidence before me that the Tenants have failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the Tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice and I hereby grant the Landlord an Order of Possession.

Monetary Order – The evidence supports that the Tenants have failed to pay accumulated rent that was due on June 1, 2014, in violation of section 26 of the Act which provides that a tenant must pay rent when it is due under the tenancy agreement. As per the aforementioned I approve the Landlord's request for a Monetary Order for \$3,500.00.

Any deposits currently held in trust by the Landlord are to be administered in accordance with Section 38 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

#### Conclusion

The Landlord has been granted an Order of Possession effective **Two (2) Days after service upon the Tenants.** This Order is legally binding and must be served upon the Tenants. In the event that the Tenants do not comply with this Order it may be filed with the Province of British Columbia Supreme Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

Page: 3

The Landlord has been awarded a Monetary Order in the amount of \$3,500.00. This Order is legally binding and must be served upon the Tenants. In the event that the Tenants do not comply with this Order it may be filed with the Province of British Columbia Small Claims Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 04, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch