



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Barlow Holdings Ltd.
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, MNSD, MNDC, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application for dispute resolution under the Residential Tenancy Act (the "Act") seeking an order of possession for the rental unit due to unpaid rent, a monetary order for unpaid rent and money owed or compensation for damage or loss, for authority to retain the tenant's security deposit, and for recovery of the filing fee paid for this application.

The landlord attended; the tenant did not attend the telephone conference call hearing.

The landlord gave evidence that he served the tenant with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing by leaving the documents with the tenant on June 13, 2014.

Based upon the submissions of the landlord, I find the tenant was served notice of this hearing in a manner complying with section 89(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act and the hearing proceeded in the tenant's absence.

The landlord was provided the opportunity to present his evidence orally and to refer to relevant documentary evidence submitted prior to the hearing, and make submissions to me.

I have reviewed all oral and written evidence before me that met the requirements of the Dispute Resolution Rules of Procedure (Rules); however, I refer to only the relevant evidence regarding the facts and issues in this decision.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession for the rental unit due to unpaid rent, monetary compensation, and to recover the filing fee?

Background and Evidence

The landlord gave evidence that this tenancy began on March 1, 2012, monthly rent is \$650, and a security deposit of \$325 was paid by the tenant at the beginning of the tenancy.

The landlord gave evidence that on June 2, 2014, the tenant was served with a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the "Notice"), by attaching it to the tenant's door,

listing unpaid rent of \$2700 as of June 1, 2014. The effective vacancy date listed on the Notice was June 12, 2014.

Section 90 of the Act states that documents served by posting on the door are deemed delivered three days later. Thus the tenant was deemed to have received the Notice on June 5, 2014, and the effective move out date is automatically changed to June 15, 2014, pursuant to section 53 of the Act.

The Notice informed the tenant that the Notice would be cancelled if the rent was paid within five days. The Notice also explained that alternatively the tenant had five days to dispute the Notice by making an application for dispute resolution.

The landlord stated that the tenant has not made any payments of rent since the Notice was issued, and as of the date of the hearing, the tenant owed \$4050 in unpaid rent and late fees for 6 months @ \$25 per month.

I have no evidence before me that the tenant applied to dispute the Notice.

Analysis

Based on the landlord's undisputed oral and written evidence, I find the landlord submitted sufficient evidence to prove that the tenant was served a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent, did not pay the outstanding rent or file an application for dispute resolution in dispute of the Notice within five days of service and is therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

I therefore find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession for the rental unit effective two days after service of the order upon the tenant.

I also find that the landlord is entitled to a monetary award of \$4100 comprised of outstanding rent of \$3900 through August 2014, late fees of \$150, and the \$50 filing fee paid by the landlord for this application.

Conclusion

The landlord's application has been granted.

I grant the landlord a final, legally binding order of possession for the rental unit, which is enclosed with the landlord's Decision. Should the tenant fail to vacate the rental unit pursuant to the terms of the order after being served, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia for enforcement as an order of that Court. The tenant is advised that costs of such enforcement are recoverable from the tenant.

At the landlord's request, I allow the landlord to retain the tenant's security deposit of \$325 in partial satisfaction of their monetary award.

I grant the landlord a final, legally binding monetary order pursuant to section 67 of the Act for the balance due, in the amount of \$3775, which I have enclosed with the landlord's Decision.

Should the tenant fail to pay the landlord this amount without delay after being served the order, the order may be filed in the Provincial Court of British Columbia (Small Claims) for enforcement as an order of that Court. The tenant is advised that costs of such enforcement are recoverable from the tenant.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* and is being mailed to both the applicant and the respondent.

Dated: August 7, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch

