Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding WALTER WEISSTOCK
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes   OPR. MNR

Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession and a monetary order.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on August 26, 2014 the landlord served the tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by posting the Notice of Direct Request on the door of the Tenant's rental unit.   Section 90 of the Act determines that the document is deemed to have been served three days after a notice has been posted on the door or on August 29, 2014.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of possession?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the tenant;

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on August 12, 2013, indicating a monthly rent of $1,000.00 due in advance of the 1st day of the month; and

- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on August 1, 2014 with a stated effective vacancy date of August 17, 2014, for $2,750.00 in unpaid rent. The Landlord further indicated in the monetary claim, payments of $2,250.00 has been received, so the monetary claim is for $500.00 in total.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the tenant has failed to pay rent owed and was served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by personal delivery to a adult in the rental unit. The Landlord further indicated that the Tenant acknowledged receipt of the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy by telephone on August 6, 2014. The Proof of Service of the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy is supported by a witness statement and signature. The Act deems the tenant was served on August 6, 2014.

The Notice states that the tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days from the date of service.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord.

The notice is deemed to have been received by the tenant on August 6, 2014.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full with in the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of possession and a monetary Order for unpaid rent.

Conclusion

I find, pursuant to section 55 of the Act, that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service** on the tenant and the Order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I find that the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation pursuant section 67 in the amount of **$500.00** rent owed and I grant an Order in that amount. This Order must be served on the tenant and may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 09, 2014

_____
Residential Tenancy Branch