



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding RAAMCO INTER PROPS CDA LTD.
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNR, MT

Introduction

This matter dealt with an application by the Tenants to cancel a Notice to End Tenancy for unpaid rent and for more time to make the application.

The Tenant said he served the Landlord with the Application and Notice of Hearing (the “hearing package”) by personal delivery on September 12, 2014. Based on the evidence of the Tenant, I find that the Landlord was served with the Tenants’ hearing package as required by s. 89 of the Act and the hearing proceeded with both parties in attendance.

During the course of the hearing, the parties reached an agreement to settle these matters, on the following conditions:

1. The Tenant said they wanted to end the Tenancy on October 9, 2014 with no compensation being paid to either the Landlord or the Tenants.
2. The Landlord agreed to end the tenancy on October 9, 2014 with no compensation being paid to either party.
3. Both Parties agreed the Landlord would receive an Order of Possession with an effective date of October 9, 2014 at 1:00 p.m.

Under section 63 (1) the director can assist parties or offer parties an opportunity to settle their dispute. Pursuant to section 63 of the Act the Landlord and the Tenants agreed to the above arrangement.

As no further action is required on this file, the file is closed.

Conclusion

The Parties agreed to end the tenancy on October 9, 2014 as per the above arrangement.

The Landlord has received an Order of Possession with an effective vacancy date of October 9, 2014 at 1:00 p.m.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 30, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch

