



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Twenty One Holdings Ltd.
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Residential Tenancy Act (the "Act"), and deals with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for:

1. An Order of Possession – Section 55; and
2. A Monetary Order for unpaid rent – Section 67.

Given the Landlord's signed proof of service, I find that the Landlord served the Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding in person on October 3, 2014 in accordance with the Act.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?

Is the Landlord entitled to a monetary order for unpaid rent?

Background and Evidence

In the Application made October 2, 2014 the Landlord claims \$2,700.00 in unpaid rent and provides the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement signed by the Parties indicating a tenancy start date of August 15, 2009 and a monthly rent of \$890.00 due on the first day of the month;

- A monetary order worksheet totaling \$2,700.00 and detailing the rental amount unpaid for July, August and September 2014;
- A statutory declaration that the Tenant was given a rental increase to \$900.00 and that a copy of the notice of rental increase is not available;
- A copy of a 10 day notice to end tenancy for unpaid rent (the “Notice”) issued on September 14, 2014 with a stated effective vacancy date of September 25, 2014 for \$2,700.00 in unpaid rent; and
- A proof of service of the Notice showing that the Landlord served the Notice to the Tenant personally on September 14, 2014.

The Tenant did not make an application to dispute the Notice.

Analysis

Section 55 of the Act provides that a landlord may request an order of possession of a rental unit by making an application for dispute resolution where a notice to end the tenancy has been given by the landlord, the tenant has not disputed the notice by making an application for dispute resolution and the time for making that application has expired. Section 46 of the Act provides that a tenant may, within 5 days after receiving a notice to end tenancy for unpaid rent, pay the overdue rent, in which case the notice has no effect, or dispute the notice by making an application for dispute resolution. If a tenant does not pay the rent or make an application to dispute the notice, the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted the end of the tenancy and must vacate the unit by the effective date of the notice.

Based on the submissions of the Landlord, I find that the Notice was received by the Tenant. I also find that the Tenant has failed to pay the rent and has not made an application to dispute the Notice. I find therefore that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession.

Although the Landlord submits a statutory declaration in relation to the rental amount payable monthly, the Landlord has chosen to apply under the direct request process as opposed to the participatory process. Policy under the direct request process requires the provision of a copy of a written notice of rental increase in order to consider an amount greater than provided under the tenancy agreement. Given the lack of a copy of notice of rent increase and considering that the Landlord has applied under the direct request process, I find that the Landlord has only substantiated the amount of rent payable as set out in the tenancy agreement in the total amount of **\$2,670.00**.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the Landlord effective **two days after service** on the Tenant. Should the Tenant fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

I grant the Landlord an order under Section 67 of the Act for the amount of **\$2,670.00**. If necessary, this order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 15, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch

