

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR, MNSD, FF

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent, a Monetary Order for unpaid rent, a Monetary Order for money owed, an order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim and to recover the filing fee for the Application.

Only the Landlord's agent appeared at the hearing. She gave affirmed testimony and was provided the opportunity to present her evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to make submissions to me.

The Landlord's agent testified she served the Tenant with the Amended Notice of Hearing and their Application on August 30, 2014 by registered mail. A copy of the registered mail receipt and tracking number as submitted in evidence. Under the Act documents served this way are deemed served five days later; accordingly, I find the Tenant was duly served as of September 4, 2014.

I have reviewed all oral and written evidence before me that met the requirements of the rules of procedure. However, only the evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this Decision.

Issues to be Decided

Has the Tenant breached the Act or tenancy agreement, entitling the Landlord to an Order of Possession and monetary relief?

Background and Evidence

Introduced in evidence was a copy of the residential tenancy agreement.

Page: 2

The tenancy began January 15, 2014. Monthly rent was payable in the amount of \$800.00. A security deposit in the amount of \$400.00 was paid on January 15, 2014.

The Tenant failed to pay rent for the month of August 2014. The Landlord issued a 10 day Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent on August 7, 2014 by posting to the rental unit door and indicating the amount of \$1,390.00 was due as of August 1, 2014 (the "Notice").

Based on the testimony of S.A., and the evidence filed, I find that the Tenant was served with the Notice on August 7, 2014 by posting to the rental unit door. Section 90 of the Act provides that documents served in this manner are deemed served three days later. Accordingly, I find that the Tenant was served with the Notice as of August 13, 2014.

The Notice informed the Tenant that the Notice would be cancelled if the rent was paid within five days of service, namely, August 18, 2014. The Notice also explains the Tenant had five days from the date of service to dispute the Notice by filing an Application for Dispute Resolution.

The Tenant did not pay the rent by August 18, 2014, nor did the Tenant file an Application for Dispute Resolution by August 18, 2014.

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the above, the testimony and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

The Tenant has not paid the outstanding rent and did not apply to dispute the Notice and is therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

Under section 26 of the Act, the Tenant must not withhold rent, even if the Landlord is in breach of the tenancy agreement or the Act, unless the Tenant has some authority under the Act to not pay rent. In this situation the Tenant had no authority under the Act to not pay rent.

I find that the Landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective **two days** after service on the Tenant. This order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

Page: 3

I find that the Landlord has established a total monetary claim of \$2,240.00 comprised of \$590.00 for July 2014 rent, \$800.00 for August 2014 rent, \$800..00 for September

2014 rent and the \$50.00 fee paid by the Landlord for this application.

I order that the Landlord retain the security deposit of \$400.00 in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the Landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of

\$1,840.00.

This order may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order

of that Court.

Conclusion

The Tenant failed to pay rent and did not file to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy. The Tenant is presumed under the law to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the

effective date of the Notice to End Tenancy.

The Landlord is granted an order of possession, may keep the security deposit and

interest in partial satisfaction of the claim, and is granted a monetary order for the

balance due.

This decision is final and binding on the parties, except as otherwise provided under the

Act, and is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: October 02, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch