

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding CARLISLE MANAGEMENT and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNC

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution filed on July 30, 2014, by the Tenant to cancel a Notice to end tenancy for Cause.

The hearing was conducted via teleconference and was attended by the Landlord. No one was in attendance for the Tenant despite this hearing being convened to hear matters pertaining to the Tenant's application.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Should the Tenant's application be dismissed with or without leave to reapply?

Background and Evidence

No additional evidence was provided in support of the Tenant's application as no one appeared at the teleconference hearing on behalf of the Tenant.

The Landlord's current Agent, hereinafter referred to as Landlord, appeared and testified that the Landlord's corporate name was not listed as Respondent to this dispute by the former building manager. He requested that the Corporate Landlord's name be added to this dispute.

The Landlord submitted that the former building manager abandoned his position with the Landlord and that he has since been appointed as Agent. Upon reviewing the file pertaining to this matter the Landlord submitted that he attended the hearing to ask that the 1 Month Notice be upheld and the tenancy be ended. Upon further clarification the Landlord stated he was requesting an Order of Possession.

Analysis

Given the evidence before me, in the absence of any evidence from the Tenant who did not appear despite this hearing being scheduled to hear the Tenant's application, I accept the undisputed evidence pertaining to the corporate Landlord's name. Accordingly, the style of cause was amended to include the corporate Landlord's name, in accordance with section 64 (3)(c) of the Act.

Section 61 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* states that upon accepting an application for dispute resolution, the director must set the matter down for a hearing and that the Director must determine if the hearing is to be oral or in writing.

Rule 10.1 of the Rules of Procedure provides as follows:

10.1 Commencement of the hearing The hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the arbitrator. The arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

In the absence of the applicant Tenant, the telephone line remained open while the phone system was monitored for ten minutes and no one on behalf of the applicant Tenant called into the hearing during this time. Accordingly, in the absence of any submissions from the applicant Tenant, I order the application dismissed without liberty to reapply.

Section 55 of the Act provides that an Order of Possession **must** be provided to a Landlord if a Tenant's request to dispute a Notice to End Tenancy is dismissed and the Landlord makes an oral request for an Order of Possession during the scheduled hearing. Accordingly I award the Landlord an Order of Possession.

Conclusion

I HEREBY DISMISS the Tenant's application, without leave to reapply.

The Landlord has been granted an Order of Possession effective **Two (2) Days after service upon the Tenant.** In the event that the Tenant does not comply with this Order it may be filed with the Province of British Columbia Supreme Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 06, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch