

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR, MDSD & FF

## <u>Introduction</u>

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of a representative of the applicant and in the absence of the respondent although duly served. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered.

I find that the 10 day Notice to End Tenancy was sufficiently served on the Tenants by posting on August 11, 2014. Further I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was sufficiently serve on the tenants by mailing, by registered mail to where the tenants reside on September 11, 2014. A search of the Canada Post tracking service indicates it was received by the tenants on September 17, 2014. With respect to each of the applicant's claims I find as follows:

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are as follows:

- a. Whether the landlord is entitled to an Order for Possession?
- b. Whether the landlord is entitled to A Monetary Order and if so how much?
- c. Whether the landlord is entitled to retain all or a portion of the security deposit/pet deposit?
- d. Whether the landlord is entitled to recover the cost of the filing fee?

#### Background and Evidence

The parties entered into a written tenancy agreement that provided that the tenancy would start on October 1, 2011. The rent was \$900 per month payable in advance on

the first day of each month. The rent was increased to \$960 per month and then \$981 per month payable in advance as of October 1, 2014. The tenants paid a security deposit of \$450 at the start of the tenancy.

The tenant(s) failed to pay the rent for the months of August (\$960 is owed), September (\$960 is owed) and October (\$981 is owed) and the sum of \$2901 remains owing. In addition the tenants owe three NSF fees of \$25 each. The total amount owing is \$2976. The tenant(s) have remained in the rental unit.

### <u>Analysis</u>

#### Analysis - Order of Possession:

I determined the landlord was entitled to an Order for Possession. There is outstanding rent. The Tenant(s) have not made an application to set aside the Notice to End Tenancy and the time to do so has expired. In such situations the Residential Tenancy Act provides the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ends on the effective date of the notice, and must vacate the rental unit by that date.

Accordingly, I granted the landlord an Order for Possession on 2 days notice.

The tenant must be served with this Order as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, the landlord may register the Order with the Supreme Court of British Columbia for enforcement.

#### Analysis - Monetary Order and Cost of Filing fee

I determined the tenant has failed to pay the rent for the month(s) of August (\$960 is owed), September (\$960 is owed) and October (\$981 is owed) and the sum of \$2901 remains owing. In addition the tenants owe three late fees of \$25 each. The total amount owing is \$2976. I determined the landlord has given sufficient notice of their intention to claim for all of last month as provided in the Application for Dispute Resolution. I granted the landlord a monetary order in the sum of \$2976 plus the sum of \$50 in respect of the filling fee for a total of \$3026.

Page: 3

**Security Deposit** 

I determined the security deposit plus interest totals the sum of \$450. I ordered

the landlord may retain this sum thus reducing the amount outstanding under

this monetary order to the sum of \$2576.

It is further Ordered that this sum be paid forthwith. The applicant is given a formal

Order in the above terms and the respondent must be served with a copy of this Order

as soon as possible.

Should the respondent fail to comply with this Order, the Order may be filed in the Small

Claims division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: October 30, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch