

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Wall Financial Corporation and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR, MNSD, FF

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession, a monetary order and an order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim. The landlord participated in the conference call hearing but the tenant(s) did not. The landlord presented evidence that the tenants were served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing by personal service on September 29, 2014. I found that the tenants had been properly served with notice of the landlord's claim and the date and time of the hearing and the hearing proceeded in their absence. The landlord gave affirmed evidence.

<u>Issues to be Decided</u>

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession?

Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order for unpaid rent and loss of income?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on or about April 1, 2014. Rent in the amount of \$735.00 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. At the outset of the tenancy the landlord collected from the tenant a security deposit in the amount of \$367.50. The tenant failed to pay rent in the month(s) of September and on September 2, 2014 the landlord served the tenant with a notice to end tenancy. The tenant further failed to pay rent in the

Page: 2

month(s) of October and November. The landlord advised that as of today's hearing the

amount of unpaid rent and late fees as per the tenancy agreement is \$2245.00.

<u>Analysis</u>

The landlord has advised that the tenant has vacated the suite and no longer requires

an order of possession and accordingly I dismiss that portion of the landlords'

application.

As for the monetary order, I find that the landlord has established a claim for \$2245.00.

The landlord is also entitled to recovery of the \$50.00 filing fee. I order that the landlord

retain the \$367.50 deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the landlord an

order under section 67 for the balance due of \$1927.50. This order may be filed in the

Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

Conclusion

The landlord is granted a monetary order for \$1927.50. The landlord may retain the

security deposit.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: November 12, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch