



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Villa Paulina and Galatia Realty Inc.  
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## **DECISION**

### Dispute Codes

OPR, MNR

### Introduction

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to sections 55(4) and 74(2) of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession and a monetary Order.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on October 28, 2014 the Landlord served the Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail. The Landlord submitted a copy of a Canada Post Receipt, with a tracking number, which indicates that the Landlord mailed a package to the rental unit. Section 90 of the *Act* determines that a document served by mail is deemed to have been served on the fifth day after it is mailed, which in these circumstances is November 02, 2014.

Based on the written submissions of the Landlord, I find the Tenant has been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession and to a monetary Order for unpaid rent, pursuant to sections 55 and 67 of the *Act*?

### Background and Evidence

I have reviewed the following evidence that was submitted by the Landlord:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the Tenant.
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement, which appears to be signed by the Tenant, which indicates that the tenancy began on May 01, 2014 and that the Tenant agreed to pay rent of \$810.00 by the first day of each month.

- A copy of a Ten Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent, dated October 02, 2014, which appears to be signed by an agent for the Landlord. The Notice declares that the Tenant must vacate the rental unit by October 12, 2014, as the Tenant has failed to pay rent in the amount of \$810.00 that was due on October 01, 2014. A copy of a signed Proof of Service of the Ten Day Notice to End Tenancy in which an agent for the Landlord declared that she posted the Notice on the Tenant's door on October 02, 2014, in the presence of a third party, who also signed the Proof of Service.
- A copy of a Monetary Order Worksheet that indicates no rent has been paid for October of 2014.

In the Application for Dispute Resolution the Landlord declared that the Ten Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent was posted at the rental unit on October 02, 2014.

### Analysis

On the basis of the undisputed evidence, I find that the Tenant entered into a tenancy agreement that required the Tenant to pay monthly rent of \$810.00 by the first day of each month and that the Tenant had not paid rent for October of 2014 by the time the Landlord filed this Application for Dispute Resolution. I have no evidence to show that the Tenant paid the outstanding rent since the Application for Dispute Resolution was filed and therefore I find that the Tenant owes rent in the amount of \$810.00.

On the basis of the undisputed evidence, I find that a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy was posted at the rental unit on October 02, 2014. I have no evidence to show that the Tenant filed an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking to set aside this Notice to End Tenancy. Pursuant to section 46(5) of the *Act*, I therefore find that the Tenant has accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice to End Tenancy.

### Conclusion

I grant the Landlord an Order of Possession effective two days after service on the Tenant. This Order may be served on the Tenant, filed with the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I grant the Landlord a monetary Order for \$810.00. In the event that the Tenant does not comply with this Order, it may be served on the Tenant, filed with the Province of British Columbia Small Claims Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Act*.

Dated: November 03, 2014

---

Residential Tenancy Branch

