



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding WARRINGTON PCS MANAGEMENT  
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## **REVIEW DECISION**

### Dispute Codes:

MNSD and FF

### Introduction

This Application for Dispute Resolution was the subject of a hearing on August 20, 2014, at which time an Arbitrator granted the Tenant a monetary Order in the amount of \$2,498.00. The Tenant attended this hearing but the Landlord did not.

On September 15, 2014 the Landlord filed an Application for Review Consideration. The Landlord's application for review was granted and a review hearing was convened on November 13, 2014. The Landlord was required to serve the Tenant with Notice of the Review Hearing and a copy of the Review Consideration Decision.

On November 10, 2014 the Tenant submitted numerous documents to the Residential Tenancy Branch, which included a copy of the Review Consideration Decision. The Tenant does not declare how he received the Review Consideration Decision, although typically a copy is mailed to each party by the Residential Tenancy Branch. In these documents the Tenant declared that the Landlord has not served him with any documents relating to the review nor has the Landlord served him with any "notice".

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Should the original decision and Order from the hearing on August 20, 2014 be varied or set aside?

### Background and Evidence

There was no testimony provided as there was no one in attendance at the scheduled review hearing.

### Analysis

Rule 10.1 of the Rules of Procedure stipulates that the hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the arbitrator. The arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the

application, with or without leave to re-apply.

This review consideration hearing was scheduled to commence at 9:00 a.m. on November 13, 2014. I dialed into the teleconference at 9:02 a.m. and monitored the teleconference until 9:13 a.m. Neither the Applicant nor the Respondent dialed into the teleconference during this time.

As there was no evidence presented at this review hearing that would cause me to set aside or vary the original decision from the hearing on August 20, 2014, the original decision and associated Order remain in full force and effect.

### Conclusion

The original decision and associated Order, dated August 20, 2014, stand.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 13, 2014

---

Residential Tenancy Branch

