

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes CNC

# <u>Introduction</u>

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of both parties. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered.

Both parties were given a full opportunity to present evidence and make submissions. Neither party requested an adjournment or a Summons to Testify. Prior to concluding the hearing both parties acknowledged they had presented all of the relevant evidence that they wished to present.

I find that the Notice to End Tenancy was personally served on the Tenant on October 31, 2014. Further I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was personally served on November 10, 2014. With respect to each of the applicant's claims I find as follows:

## Issue(s) to be Decided

The issue to be decided is whether the tenant is entitled to an order cancelling the one month Notice to End Tenancy dated October 31, 2014?

### Background and Evidence:

The tenancy began on October 15, 2014. The tenant testified the rent was \$760 per month payable with \$380 being paid on the first of the month and \$380 on the 15<sup>th</sup> of the month. The tenant(s) paid a security deposit of \$380 at the start of the tenancy.

#### Settlement:

Page: 2

At the hearing the parties reached a settlement and they asked that I record the

settlement pursuant to section 63(2) of the Residential Tenancy Act as follows:

a. The parties mutually agree to end the tenancy on March 31, 2015.

b. The parties request the arbitrator issue an Order for Possession for that date.

Order for Possession

As a result of the settlement I issued an order for Possession effective March 31,

2015.

The tenant must be served with this Order as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail

to comply with this Order, the landlord may register the Order with the Supreme Court of

British Columbia for enforcement.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: December 11, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch