

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding CAPREIT name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR MNR

Introduction

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on December 18, 2014, the Landlord served each Tenant by registered mail. Canada Post receipts were provided in the Landlord's evidence. Based on the written submissions of the Landlord, I find that each Tenant is deemed served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents on December 23, 2014, five days after they were mailed, pursuant to section 90 of the Act.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order?

Background and Evidence

I have carefully reviewed the following evidentiary material submitted by the Landlord:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for each Tenant;
- A copy of the Landlord's Application for Direct Request and the Monetary Order Worksheet listing a claim of \$1,778.28 for accumulated rent from October to December 2014;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by all parties for a fixed term tenancy that began on May 1, 2013, and switched to a month to month tenancy after April 30, 2014, for the monthly rent of \$870.00 which was payable on the first of each month;
- A letter issued to the Tenants from the Landlord on January 9, 2014;

- A Notice of Rent Increase which raised the rent from \$870.00 to \$889.14 effective May 1, 2014; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on, December 9, 2014, with an effective vacancy date of December 23, 2014, due to \$1,778.28 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the Landlord indicates that the Tenants were served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent on December 9, 2014, at 5:00 p.m. when it was posted to the Tenants' door, in the presence of a witness.

<u>Analysis</u>

Order of Possession

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the Tenants have been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the Landlord. The notice is deemed to have been received by the Tenants on December 12, 2014, the third day after it was posted to the Tenants' door, and the effective date of the notice is December 23, 2014, pursuant to section 90 of the *Act*. I accept the evidence before me that the Tenants have failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the Tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice and I hereby grant the Landlord an Order of Possession.

Monetary Order

The evidence supports that the Tenants have failed to pay rent in accordance with section 26 of the Act which stipulates that a tenant must pay rent when it is due under the tenancy agreement. As per the aforementioned I find the Landlord has met the burden of proof and I award him a Monetary Order for **\$1,778.28**.

Any deposits currently held in trust by the Landlord are to be administered in accordance with Section 38 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Conclusion

The Landlord has been granted an Order of Possession effective **Two (2) Days after** service upon the Tenants. In the event that the Tenants do not comply with this Order it may be filed with the Province of British Columbia Supreme Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

The Landlord has been awarded a Monetary Order in the amount of **\$1,778.28**. This Order is legally binding and must be served upon the Tenants. In the event that the Tenants do not comply with this Order it may be filed with the Province of British Columbia Small Claims Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 29, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch