



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR MNR MNDC FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution filed by the Landlords on December 29, 2014, to obtain an Order of Possession for unpaid rent and a Monetary Order for: unpaid rent or utilities; for money owed or compensation for damage or loss under the Act, regulation or tenancy agreement; and to recover the cost of the filing fee from the Tenant for this application.

The hearing was conducted via teleconference and was attended by the Landlords.

Issue(s) to be Decided

- 1) Have the Landlords served the Tenant with a copy of their Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing documents?
- 2) If not, should the Application be dismissed with or without leave to reapply?

Background and Evidence

The Landlords provided affirmed testimony that they had not served the Tenant with a copy of their Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing documents

Analysis

Section 89(1) of the Act stipulates that an application for dispute resolution or a decision of the director to proceed with a review under Division 2 of Part 5, when required to be given to one party by another, must be given in one of the following ways:

- (a) by leaving a copy with the person;
- (b) if the person is a landlord, by leaving a copy with an agent of the landlord;

- (c) by sending a copy by registered mail to the address at which the person resides or, if the person is a landlord, to the address at which the person carries on business as a landlord;
- (d) if the person is a tenant, by sending a copy by registered mail to a forwarding address provided by the tenant;
- (e) as ordered by the director under section 71 (1) [*director's orders: delivery and service of documents*].

In the absence of the respondent Tenant, the burden of proof of service of the hearing documents lies with the applicant Landlord. The Landlords testified that they did not serve a copy of their Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing documents, as required pursuant to section 89 of the Act.

To find in favour of an application, I must be satisfied that the rights of all parties have been upheld by ensuring the parties have been given proper notice to be able to defend their rights. As I have found the service of documents not to have been effected in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*, I dismiss the Landlords' application, with leave to reapply.

Conclusion

I HEREBY DISMISS the Landlords' application, with leave to reapply.

This dismissal does not extend any time limits set forth in the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 27, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch

