

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNC, RR, MT

Introduction

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of both parties. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered.

Both parties were given a full opportunity to present evidence and make submissions. Neither party requested an adjournment or a Summons to Testify. Prior to concluding the hearing both parties acknowledged they had presented all of the relevant evidence that they wished to present.

I find that the one month Notice to End Tenancy was personally served on the Tenant on December 3, 2014. Further I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was sufficiently served on the landlord. With respect to each of the applicant's claims I find as follows:

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issue to be decided is whether the tenant is entitled to an order cancelling the Notice to End Tenancy dated December 3, 2014?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began approximately 2 to 3 years ago. The present rent is \$460 per month payable in advance on the first day of each month. The tenant(s) paid a security deposit of \$230 at start of the tenancy.

Grounds for Termination

The Notice to End Tenancy relies on section 47(1)(d) of the Residential Tenancy Act. That section provides as follows:

Landlord's notice: cause

47 (1) A landlord may end a tenancy by giving notice to end the tenancy if one or more of the following applies:

...

(d) the tenant or a person permitted on the residential property by the tenant has(i) significantly interfered with or unreasonably disturbed another occupant or the landlord of the residential property,

(ii) seriously jeopardized the health or safety or a lawful right or interest of the landlord or another occupant, or

(iii) put the landlord's property at significant risk;

<u>Analysis</u>

After hearing all of the evidence I determined the landlord has sufficient grounds to end the tenancy. The landlord testified the police have been called on 3 o4 4 occasions in the last month. Furniture has been thrown out into the lawn causing fear among other residents. The landlord has witnessed the tenant smoking marijuana. While the tenant may not have been the cause of the violence, she is responsible for those she has permitted on the rental property.

Determination and Orders

After carefully considering all of the evidence I determined that the landlord has established sufficient cause to end the tenancy. As a result I dismissed the tenant's application to cancel the one month Notice to End Tenancy.

Order for Possession

The Residential Tenancy Act provides that where a landlord has made an oral request for an Order for Possession at a hearing where a dispute resolution officer has dismissed a tenant's application to set aside a Notice to End Tenancy, the dispute resolution officer must grant an Order for Possession. The landlord made this request

Page: 3

at the hearing. As a result I granted the landlord an Order for Possession. The parties mutually agreed that I should set the effective date of the Order for Possession for 4:00 p.m. on January 22, 2015. Accordingly I granted an Order for Possession for that date and time.

The tenant must be served with this Order as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, the landlord may register the Order with the Supreme Court of British Columbia for enforcement.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: January 20, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch