



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      MNSD, MNDC, FF

This matter was set for a conference call hearing at 11:00 a.m. on this date. The tenants participated in the hearing, the landlord did not. The tenants stated that there was a signed tenancy agreement with a commercial landlord; however the tenants have filed against a specific individual. The tenants stated that they e-mailed the landlord the forwarding address on several occasions but did not receive a response. The tenants stated that they have sent the Notice of Hearing package by registered mail to the “company office” which they believe the person listed in this application is the owner of.

The tenants did not provide the tenancy agreement for this hearing to clearly indicate who the named landlord is or their service address. The tenants provided e-mails that had no response from the subject party or anyone else. It is unclear whether the named party was the landlord, a property manager or the maintenance man at the time of filing and what their role in this tenancy was, if any. The tenants were unable to satisfy me that the actual landlord had been served the Notice of Hearing Documents in accordance with the Act and as a result, I dismiss the tenants’ application with leave to reapply.

Leave to reapply is not an extension of any applicable limitation period.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 14, 2015

---

Residential Tenancy Branch

