

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding FIRST UNITED CHURCH SOCIAL HOUSING SOCIETY and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes:

OPR, MNR

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing was convened in response to an application by the landlord pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the Act) for Orders as follows:

- 1. An Order of Possession Section 55;
- 2. A Monetary Order for unpaid rent Section 67;

I accept the landlord's evidence that despite the tenant having been served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing by *registered mail* in accordance with Section 89 of the Residential Tenancy Act (the Act) the tenant did not participate in the conference call hearing. The landlord provided proof of registered mail registration inclusive of the tracking number information. The landlord was given full opportunity to be heard, to present evidence and to make submissions.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the notice to end tenancy valid?
Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?
Is the landlord entitled to the monetary amounts claimed?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on June 01, 2013. Rent in the amount of \$387.00 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. At the outset of the tenancy, the landlord collected a security deposit from the tenant in the amount of \$272.00 which is retained in trust. By November 30, 2014 the tenant failed to pay rent for the months of October and November 2014 and on December 01, 2014 the landlord served the tenant with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent, by posting it on their door. The tenant further failed to pay rent in the month of December 2014 and January 2015. The quantum of the landlord's monetary claim is for the unpaid rent.

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the document evidence landlord's testimony I find that the tenant was served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent and I find the notice to be valid. The tenant has not paid the outstanding rent and has not applied for Dispute Resolution to dispute the notice and is therefore conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice. Based on the above facts I find that the landlord is entitled to an **Order of Possession**.

I also find that the landlord has established a monetary claim for unpaid rent. The security deposit will be off-set from the award made herein.

Calculation for Monetary Order

Rental Arrear for October 2014 to January 2015	\$1548.00
Less Security Deposit	-272.00
Total Monetary Award	\$1276.00

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective 2 days from the day it is served on the tenant. The tenant must be served with this Order of Possession. Should the tenant fail to comply with the Order, the Order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I Order that the landlord retain the deposit of \$272.00 in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the landlord an Order under Section 67 of the Act for the balance due of \$1276.00. If necessary, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This Decision is final and binding on both parties.

This Decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: January 13, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch