

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR, MNSD, MNDC, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent, a Monetary Order for unpaid rent and money owed or compensation for damage or loss under the Act, regulation or tenancy agreement, an order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim and to recover the filing fee for the Application.

Both parties appeared at the hearing. The hearing process was explained and the participants were asked if they had any questions. Both parties provided affirmed testimony and were provided the opportunity to present their evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to cross-examine the other party, and make submissions to me.

I have reviewed all oral and written evidence before me that met the requirements of the rules of procedure. However, only the evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this Decision.

I have reviewed all oral and written evidence before me that met the requirements of the rules of procedure. However, only the evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this Decision.

Issues to be Decided

Has the Tenant breached the Act or tenancy agreement, entitling the Landlord to an Order of Possession and monetary relief?

Page: 2

Background and Evidence

The Landlord testified as to the terms of the tenancy as follows: the tenancy began September 1, 2014; monthly rent was payable in the amount of \$1,150.00 on the first of each month; and, the Tenants paid a security deposit of \$575.00 on August 31, 2014.

The Tenants failed to pay the full amount of rent for the month of October 2014 leaving a balance of \$50.00 owing. The Tenants also failed to pay the \$1,150.0 rent for November and December 2014. The Landlord issued a 10 day Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent on December 10, 2014 indicating the amount of \$2,350.00 was due as of December 1, 2014 (the "Notice").

Based on the testimony of the Landlord, I find that the Tenants were personally served with the Notice on December 12, 2014.

The Notice informed the Tenants that the Notice would be cancelled if the rent was paid within five days of service, namely, December 17, 2014. The Notice also explains the Tenants had five days from the date of service to dispute the Notice by filing an Application for Dispute Resolution.

Analysis

Based on the above, the testimony and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

The Tenants have not paid the outstanding rent and did not apply to dispute the Notice and are therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

Under section 26 of the Act, the Tenants must not withhold rent, even if the Landlord is in breach of the tenancy agreement or the Act, unless the Tenants have some authority under the Act to not pay rent. In this situation the Tenant have no authority under the Act to not pay rent.

I find that the Landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective **two days** after service on the Tenant. This order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I find that the Landlord has established a total monetary claim of \$3,550.00 comprised of: \$50.00 for October 2014 rent; \$1,150.00 for the November 2014 rent; \$1,150.00 for

Page: 3

the December 2014 rent; \$1,150.00 for the January 2015 rent; and, the \$50.00 fee paid

by the Landlord for this application.

I order that the Landlord retain the security deposit of \$575.00 in partial satisfaction of

the claim and I grant the Landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of

\$2,975.00.

This order may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order

of that Court.

Conclusion

The Tenants failed to pay rent and did not file to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy.

The Tenants are presumed under the law to have accepted that the tenancy ended on

the effective date of the Notice to End Tenancy.

The Landlord is granted an order of possession, may keep the security deposit and

interest in partial satisfaction of the claim, and is granted a monetary order for the

balance due.

This decision is final and binding on the parties, except as otherwise provided under the

Act, and is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: January 13, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch