



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding 0896572 B.C. LTD.
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a monetary Order.

The landlord submitted two signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding forms which declare that on February 17, 2015, at 2:50 pm, the landlord served the above-named tenants with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by way of personal service via hand-delivery. The personal service was confirmed as the Proof of Service forms establish that the service was witnessed by "JB" and a signature for JB is included on the forms.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, and in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*, I find that the tenants have been duly served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on February 17, 2015.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- Two copies of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding served to the tenants;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord's agent and the tenants, indicating a monthly rent of \$800.00 due on the first day of the month for a tenancy commencing on December 18, 2013;

- A Monetary Order Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the portion of this tenancy in question, on which the landlord establishes a monetary claim in the amount of \$800.00 for outstanding rent. The landlord establishes that unpaid rent for November 2014 was \$800.00. The landlord also indicates that monthly rent in the amount of \$800.00 was not paid for the months of October 2014, December 2014, January 2015, and February 2015; however a monetary order is sought only for the amount of \$800.00 arising from a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent issued in November 2014;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the Notice) dated November 3, 2014, which the landlord states was served to the tenants on November 4, 2014, for \$800.00 in unpaid rent due on November 1, 2014, with a stated effective vacancy date of November 13, 2014; and
- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice showing that the landlord's agent served the Notice to the tenants by way of personal service via hand-delivery at 4:35 pm on November 4, 2014. The Proof of Service form establishes that the service was witnessed by "JB" and a signature for JB is included on the form.

The Notice restates section 46(4) of the Act which provides that the tenants had five days to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the effective date of the Notice. The tenants did not apply to dispute the Notice within five days from the date of service and the landlord alleged that the tenants did not pay the rental arrears.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and find that in accordance with section 88 of the *Act* the tenants were duly served with the Notice on November 4, 2014.

I find that the tenants were obligated to pay monthly rent in the amount of \$800.00, as established in the tenancy agreement. I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay \$800.00 in rent for the month of November 2014. I find that the tenants received the Notice on November 4, 2014. I accept the landlord's undisputed evidence and find that the tenants did not pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act* and did not apply to dispute the Notice within that 5-day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the corrected effective date of the Notice, November 14, 2014.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and a monetary Order of \$800.00 for unpaid rent owing for November 2014.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord is entitled to a monetary Order in the amount of \$800.00 for rent owed for November 2014. The landlord is provided with these Orders in the above terms and the tenant(s) must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with these Orders, these Orders may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as Orders of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 19, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch

