

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding NPR Limited Partnership and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR

<u>Introduction</u>

This matter proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Residential Tenancy Act (the "Act"), and deals with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for:

- 1. An Order of Possession Section 55; and
- 2. A Monetary Order for unpaid rent Section 67.

Given the Landlord's signed proof of service, I find that the Landlord served each Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding and all supporting documents by registered mail on January 20, 2015 in accordance with the Act.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?

Is the Landlord entitled to a monetary order for unpaid rent?

Background and Evidence

In the Application made January 19, 2015 the Landlord provides monetary calculations for its claim to unpaid rent of \$970.00 and provides the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement signed by the Parties indicating a tenancy start date of October 1, 2013 and a monthly rent of \$895.00 due on the first day of the month;

Page: 2

- A copy of a 10 day notice to end tenancy for unpaid rent (the "Notice") issued on January 5, 2015 with a stated effective vacancy date of January 15, 2015, automatically corrected to January 18, 2015, for \$970.00 in unpaid rent due January 1, 2015; and
- A proof of service of the Notice showing that the Landlord served the Notice to the Tenant on January 5, 2015 by posting the Notice on the door.

<u>Analysis</u>

Section 55 of the Act provides that a landlord may request an order of possession of a rental unit by making an application for dispute resolution where a notice to end the tenancy has been given by the landlord, the tenant has not disputed the notice by making an application for dispute resolution and the time for making that application has expired. Based on the submissions of the Landlord, I find that the Notice was deemed received by the Tenant on January 8, 2015. I also find that the Tenant has failed to pay the rent and has not made an application to dispute the Notice within the time required. I find therefore that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent in the amount of \$970.00.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the Landlord effective two days after service on the Tenant. Should the Tenant fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

I grant the Landlord an order under Section 67 of the Act for the amount of **\$970.00**. If necessary, this order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

Page: 3

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 04, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch