



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes      OPR, MNR

### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlords for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a monetary Order.

The landlords submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on February 19, 2015, at 7:00 pm, the landlord "DW" served the tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by way of personal service via hand-delivery. The Proof of Service forms establishes that the service was witnessed by an individual, other than the landlord, identified as "DW" and a signature for DW is included on the form.

Based on the written submissions of the landlords, and in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant has been duly served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on February 19, 2015.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Are the landlords entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Are the landlords entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

### Background and Evidence

The landlords submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding served to the tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlords' agent and the tenant on May 10, 2012, indicating a monthly rent of \$750.00 due on the first day of the month for a tenancy commencing on June 1, 2012;

- A Monetary Order Worksheet showing the rent owing during the portion of this tenancy in question, on which the landlords establish a monetary claim in the amount of \$1,525.00 for outstanding rent. The landlords indicate that there is outstanding rent owing for January 2014 in the amount of \$400.00, outstanding rent owing for January 2015 in the amount of \$375.00, and outstanding rent owing for February 2015 in the amount of \$750.00;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the Notice) dated February 12, 2015, which the landlords state was served to the tenant on February 12, 2015, for \$1,525.00 in unpaid rent due on February 1, 2015, with a stated effective vacancy date of February 22, 2015;
- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice showing that the landlord DW served the Notice to the tenant by way of personal service via hand-delivery at 1:00 pm on February 12, 2015. The Proof of Service establishes that the service was witnessed by the landlord LW and a signature for LW is included on the form.

The Notice restates section 46(4) of the Act which provides that the tenant had five days to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the effective date of the Notice. The tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice within five days from the date of service and the landlord alleged that the tenant did not pay the rental arrears.

### Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and find that in accordance with section 88 of the *Act* the tenant was duly served with the Notice on February 12, 2015.

I find that the tenant was obligated to pay monthly rent in the amount of \$750.00, as established in the tenancy agreement. I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay outstanding rental arrears in the amount of \$1,525.00. I find that the tenant received the Notice on February 12, 2015. I accept the landlords' undisputed evidence and find that the tenant did not pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act* and did not apply to dispute the Notice within that 5-day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice, February 22, 2015.

Therefore, I find that the landlords are entitled to an Order of Possession and a monetary Order of \$1,525.00 for unpaid rent owing as of February 18, 2015.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlords effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*, I find that the landlords are entitled to a monetary Order in the amount of \$1,525.00 for unpaid rent owing as of February 18, 2015. The landlords are provided with these Orders in the above terms and the tenant must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail to comply with these Orders, these Orders may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as Orders of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 26, 2015

---

Residential Tenancy Branch

