



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, MDSD & FF

Introduction

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of the applicant and in the absence of the respondent although duly served. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered.

I find that the Notice to End Tenancy was sufficiently served on the Tenant on February 2, 2015. Further I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was personally served on the Tenant on February 20, 2015. With respect to each of the applicant's claims I find as follows:

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are as follows:

- a. Whether the landlord is entitled to an Order for Possession?
- b. Whether the landlord is entitled to A Monetary Order and if so how much?
- c. Whether the landlord is entitled to retain all or a portion of the security deposit/pet deposit?
- d. Whether the landlord is entitled to recover the cost of the filing fee?

Order:

The landlord testified the respondent vacated the rental unit on February 23, 2015. She further stated that the tenant will not be able to pay any monetary order and that she, the landlord wished to withdraw her claim.

At the request of the landlord I ordered that the application be dismissed as withdrawn. The matter has not been decided on the merits. As a result the landlord has liberty to re-apply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: March 10, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch

