

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Barafield Realty Limited c/o Gateway Property Man Corp. and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR MNR MNSD MNDC FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession, a monetary order and an order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim. The landlord and the tenant participated in the teleconference hearing.

At the outset of the hearing, the tenant confirmed that he had received the landlord's application and evidence. The tenant did not submit any documentary evidence. Both parties were given full opportunity to give testimony and present their evidence. I have reviewed all testimony and other evidence. However, in this decision I only describe the evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession? Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on July 1, 2014. Rent in the amount of \$780 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. At the outset of the tenancy, the landlord collected a security deposit from the tenant in the amount of \$390. The tenant failed to pay \$630 of the rent in the month of March 2015 and on March 1, 2015 the landlord served the tenant with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenant made partial payments of rent, but did not pay the full outstanding amount within five days of receiving the notice. At the time of the hearing the tenant owed the landlord \$1090 in unpaid rent.

The tenant did not dispute these facts.

Page: 2

<u>Analysis</u>

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant failed to pay the full rent owed within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the Act. I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on

the effective date of the notice. The landlord is therefore entitled to an order of

possession.

As for the monetary order, based on the above-noted evidence I find that the landlord has established a claim for \$1090 in unpaid rent and lost revenue. The landlord is also

entitled to recovery of the \$50 filing fee.

Conclusion

I grant the landlord an order of possession effective two days from service. The tenant must be served with the order of possession. Should the tenant fail to comply with the

order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as

an order of that Court.

The landlord is entitled to \$1140. I order that the landlord retain the security deposit of

\$390 in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of \$750. This order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and

enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: April 21, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch