



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes: MNSD; MNDC; FF

Introduction

This is the Tenants' application for a monetary order for double the security deposit and to recover the cost of the filing fee from the Respondent.

The parties gave affirmed testimony at the Hearing. It was determined that the Tenant sent the Respondent with the Notice of Hearing documents, by registered mail, on October 9, 2014.

At the outset of the Hearing, the Respondent's agent testified that the Tenants named and served the wrong party as "landlord". She stated that the Landlord is a company and that the named respondent is the mother of the president of that company. A copy of the tenancy agreement was provided in evidence, which confirms that the Landlord is the company.

I find that the Tenants have not served the Landlord with Notice of Hearing documents in accordance with the provisions of the Act.

Conclusion

The Tenants' Application against the Respondent is dismissed. The Tenants are at liberty to file an Application against the corporate Landlord.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 29, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch

