

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNC, OPC

<u>Introduction</u>

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of both parties. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered.

Both parties were given a full opportunity to present evidence and make submissions. Neither party requested an adjournment or a Summons to Testify. Prior to concluding the hearing both parties acknowledged they had presented all of the relevant evidence that they wished to present.

I find that the one month Notice to End Tenancy was personally served on the Tenant on April 28, 2015. Further I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was personally served on the landlord on April 30, 2015. With respect to each of the applicant's claims I find as follows:

<u>Issue(s) to be Decided:</u>

The issues to be decided are as follows:

- a. Whether the tenant is entitled to an order cancelling the one month Notice to End Tenancy dated April 28, 2015?
- b. Whether the landlord is entitled to an Order for Possession?

Background and Evidence

The parties entered into a written tenancy agreement that provided that the tenancy would start on December 1, 2012. The present rent is \$725 per month payable on the first day of each month.

Page: 2

Settlement:

At the hearing the parties reached a settlement and they asked that I record the

settlement pursuant to section 63(2) of the Residential Tenancy Act as follows:

a. The parties mutually agree to end the tenancy on August 31, 2015.

b. The parties request that the arbitrator issue an Order for Possession for that

date.

As a result of the settlement I granted an Order for Possession effective August

31, 2015

The tenant must be served with this Order as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail

to comply with this Order, the landlord may register the Order with the Supreme Court of

British Columbia for enforcement.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: June 11, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch