

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPL, MNR & FF

<u>Introduction</u>

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of both parties. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered.

Both parties were given a full opportunity to present evidence and make submissions. Neither party requested an adjournment or a Summons to Testify. Prior to concluding the hearing both parties acknowledged they had presented all of the relevant evidence that they wished to present.

I find that the two month Notice to End Tenancy was personally served on the Tenant on April 1, 2015. Further I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was sufficiently served on the Tenant by mailing, by registered mail to where the tenant resides. With respect to each of the applicant's claims I find as follows:

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are as follows:

- a. Whether the landlord is entitled to an Order for Possession?
- b. Whether the landlord is entitled to A Monetary Order and if so how much?
- c. Whether the landlord is entitled to recover the cost of the filing fee?

Background and Evidence

The tenant and the previous owner entered into a one year written tenancy agreement that provided that the tenancy would start on April 1, 2014, end on March 31, 2015 and

Page: 2

become month to month after that. The rent is \$1100 per month payable on the first

day of each month. The tenant paid a security deposit of \$550 on March 25, 2014.

The landlord served a two month Notice to End Tenancy on the tenants that set the end

of tenancy for July 1, 2015. The tenant continues to live in the rental unit.

Analysis - Order of Possession:

I determined the landlord was entitled to an Order for Possession. The Tenant(s) have

not made an application to set aside the 2 month Notice to End Tenancy and the time to

do so has expired. In such situations the Residential Tenancy Act provides the tenant

is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ends on the effective date

of the notice, and must vacate the rental unit by that date. Accordingly, I granted the

landlord an Order for Possession effective July 1, 2015.

The tenant must be served with this Order as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail

to comply with this Order, the landlord may register the Order with the Supreme Court of

British Columbia for enforcement.

Analysis - Monetary Order and Cost of Filing fee:

The landlord testified the tenant has paid the amount of the monetary. As a result I

dismissed the claim for the monetary order. The landlord stated that she wished to

withdraw the claim for the cost of the filing fee.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: June 09, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch