



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding MILANI HOLDINGS INC
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the “*Act*”), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a monetary Order.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on June 24, 2015, the landlord served the tenant the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding personally. The landlord provided witnessed confirmation that the tenant personally signed for delivery of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding. Based on the written submissions of the landlord and in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant has been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on June 24, 2015.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding served to the tenant;

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on October 1, 2014, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,000.00, due on the first day of the month for a commencing on October 01, 2014;
- A Monetary Order Worksheet and ledger showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated June 2, 2015, and personally served to the tenant on June 2, 2015, with a stated effective vacancy date of June 12, 2015, for \$4,400.00 in unpaid rent owing as of May 31, 2015.

Witnessed documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the 10 Day Notice was personally served to the tenant at 8:00 am on June 2, 2015. The 10 Day Notice states that the tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with section 88 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant was served with the 10 Day Notice on June 2, 2015.

I find that the tenant was obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$1,000.00, as per the tenancy agreement.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the \$4,400.00 identified as owing on the 10 Day Notice in full within the 5 days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that 5 day period

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the 10 Day Notice, June 12, 2015.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and a monetary Order in the amount of \$4,400.00, the amount claimed by the landlord, for unpaid rent owing from January 2015 to May 2015 as of May 31, 2015.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord is entitled to a monetary Order in the amount of **\$4,400.00** for rent owed as of May 31, 2015. The landlord is provided with this Order in the above terms and the tenant must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 26, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch

