

## **Dispute Resolution Services**

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes: MT; DRI; MNSD

## Introduction and Analysis

This Hearing was scheduled to hear the Tenant's application for more time to make an application to cancel a notice to end tenancy; to dispute an additional rent increase; and for return of the security deposit.

This application was scheduled to be heard via teleconference on July 8, 2015, at 11:00 a.m. By 11:15 a.m., neither party had signed into the teleconference.

Section 59 of the Act requires, in part, that an application for dispute resolution must include full particulars of the dispute that is to be the subject of the proceedings. In this case, the Tenant's application for dispute is deficient for the following reasons:

- 1. The first page of the application is incomplete:
  - The Tenant did not provide a "dispute address" (address of the rental unit).
  - The Tenant did not provide full names for the Landlords.
- 2. The second page of the application is incomplete:
  - The Tenant seeks more time to cancel a notice to end the tenancy, which he indicates was received by him on May 12, 2015, which is the day after the day he filed his application.
  - The Tenant seeks to dispute an additional rent increase and return of his security deposit; however, the Tenant provided no details in the "Details of Dispute" section of the application.

I find that the Tenant's application filed May 11, 2015, does not comply with Section 59 of the Act and therefore it is dismissed with leave to reapply.

Should the Tenant choose to file another application for dispute resolution, he is encouraged to speak to an Information Officer to seek procedural advice before submitting another application. An information sheet accompanies this Decision, which has contact numbers for an information officer and a link to the Residential Tenancy Branch's website.

## **Conclusion**

The Tenant's application is dismissed **with leave to re-apply**. This does not extend any existing time limits that may apply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 08, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch