

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, MDSD & FF

<u>Introduction</u>

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of a representative of the applicant and in the absence of the respondents although duly served. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered.

I find that the two month Notice to End Tenancy was personally served on the Tenants on April 28, 2015. Further I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was sufficiently served on the Tenants by mailing, by registered mail to where the tenants reside on May 27, 2015. The agent for the landlord also posted a copy of the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing on May 27, 2015. With respect to each of the applicant's claims I find as follows:

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are as follows:

- a. Whether the landlord is entitled to an Order for Possession?
- b. Whether the landlord is entitled to recover the cost of the filing fee?

Background and Evidence

The parties entered into a tenancy agreement that provided that the tenancy would start on July 1, 2009. The present rent is \$795 per month payable in advance on the first day of each month. The tenants did not pay a security deposit.

The landlord served a 2 month Notice to End Tenancy on the tenants on April 28, 2015.

The agent for the landlord testified he is unsure whether the tenants have vacated the rental unit but he requires the Order for Possession out of an abundance of caution.

Page: 2

Analysis - Order of Possession:

I determined the landlord was entitled to an Order for Possession. The Tenant(s) have not

made an application to set aside the Notice to End Tenancy and the time to do so has expired.

In such situations the Residential Tenancy Act provides the tenant is conclusively presumed to

have accepted that the tenancy ends on the effective date of the notice, and must vacate the

rental unit by that date. Accordingly, I granted the landlord an Order for Possession on 2

days notice. The agent for the landlord stated he was withdrawing the claim for the cost of the

filing fee.

The tenant must be served with this Order as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail to comply

with this Order, the landlord may register the Order with the Supreme Court of British Columbia

for enforcement.

It is further Ordered that this sum be paid forthwith. The applicant is given a formal Order in the

above terms and the respondent must be served with a copy of this Order as soon as possible.

Should the respondent fail to comply with this Order, the Order may be filed in the Small Claims

division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy

Branch under section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: July 09, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch