

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding KAJODY PROPERTY MGMT INC and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR

<u>Introduction</u>

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlords for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a Monetary Order.

The landlords submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on July 28, 2015, the landlords posted the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to the tenant's door. The landlords provided signed and witnessed documentary evidence to confirm this service. Based on the written submissions of the landlords and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant has been deemed served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on July 31, 2015, the third day after their posting.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Are the landlords entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Are the landlords entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

The landlords submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding served to the tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on April 30, 2015, indicating a monthly rent of \$600.00, due on the first day of the month for a tenancy commencing on May 1, 2015;

Page: 2

A Monetary Order Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during this tenancy; and

 A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated July 20, 2015, and personally served to the tenant on July 20, 2015, with a stated

effective vacancy date of July 30, 2015, for \$1,000.00 in unpaid rent.

Witnessed documentary evidence filed by the landlords indicates that the 10 Day Notice was personally served to the tenant at 10:45 am on July 20, 2015. The 10 Day Notice states that the tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute

Resolution or the tenancy would end.

<u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant was duly served with the 10 Day Notice on July 20, 2015.

I find that the tenant was obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$600.00, as per the tenancy agreement.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that 5 day period

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the 10 Day Notice, July 30, 2015.

Therefore, I find that the landlords are entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent owing for May 2015 and July 2015 as of July 28, 2015.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlords effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 31, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch