



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Remax Blue Sky Realty
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNR, OPR

This matter was set for a conference call hearing at 9:00 a.m. on this date. The landlord participated in the conference call, the tenant did not. Originally, the landlord filed their application by way of the Direct Request Process. It was determined by the adjudicator that a participatory hearing was necessary. The interim decision by the adjudicator clearly outlines the landlords' responsibility to serve the tenants notice of this hearing.

The landlord stated that he had documentary proof that both tenants were served, however, the landlord did not submit that documentation for this hearing. The landlord was unable to satisfy me that the tenants had been served the Notice of Hearing Documents in accordance with Section 89 of the Act and as a result, I dismiss the landlord's application with leave to reapply.

Leave to reapply is not an extension of any applicable limitation period.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: August 26, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch

